

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				. —	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/774,783	02/09/2004	Chang-Sup Mun	8836-222 (IE13055-US)	8836-222 (IE13055-US) 8859	
22150 7590 07/12/2007 F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLC 130 WOODBURY ROAD WOODBURY, NY 11797			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			DOUYON, LORNA M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1751		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/774,783	MUN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Lorna M. Douyon	1751		

	Lorna M. Douyon	1751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 26 June 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action: or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	ecause			
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☒ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	coausc			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bei		ducina or simplifyina :	the issues for			
appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		moliant Amendment	(DTOL 324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:	mphant Amendment	(F10L-324).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> . Claim(s) objected to: <i>None</i> . Claim(s) rejected: 1-5,7,9-21,24 and 27.	⊠ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ied.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu of the same reasons set forth in the final rejection.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
		/Lorna M. Douyon/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1751				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 15 stands rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph for the same reasons set forth in the final rejection. The citation on page 6, lines 10-13 recites "chloride solution" not "alkaline chloride solution" as presently claimed. The specification does not describe what applicant had possession at the time the application was filed. An alkaline solution is construed by the Examiner as a "basic solution" because of the recitation of several hydroxides on page 6, lines 12-14, The chloride solution was included as part of the Markush of alkaline solution, yet chloride solution is a salt and not alkaline unless combined with the other members of the Markush group. There is no other citation in the specifictaion regarding the chloride solution. Hence, "wherein the alkaline solution consists essentially of an alkaline chloride solution" is considered as new matter.